Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/611,599	MILLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	RAMY M. OSMAN	2457	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>RAMY M. OSMAN</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Brett Schlameus (60827)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>26 January 2009</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Heikes (PGPub 20030222907)</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Attorney Schlameus clarified the inventio Examiner Osman. Amendments to the claims were discussed that would overcome the art of record. Examiner Osman indicated that further consideration and search would be necessary to make a proper determination of allowability.</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			